

**Conference Panel: “Visions of religious scholars on the role of educational and social institutions in raising future generations”**

Rabbi Reuven Firestone, Ph.D.  
 Professor of Medieval Judaism and Islam  
 Hebrew Union College-Jewish Institute of Religion  
 Co-Director, Center for Muslim-Jewish Engagement  
 University of Southern California [www.usc.edu/cmje](http://www.usc.edu/cmje)  
 3077 University Ave.  
 Los Angeles, CA 90007  
 (213) 749-3424.ext.4239  
 rfirestone@huc.edu  
<http://huc.edu/faculty/faculty/firestone.shtml>

Paper Title:

**“The Need for Educational Reform in Religious Education that Teaches Honor and Respect for Other Religions.”**

Abstract:

Religions include both particularist and universal concerns, meaning concern for the members of their own religious communities and concern for all humanity. These two areas of concern should not clash with one another. Unfortunately, however, many current studies prove that religious education in most regions of the world denigrates other religions. This is a critical problem that leads to resentment and ultimately to violence, but it can be resolved by proper curricular reviews and revisions. Effective revision requires that curricular review boards and committees include within them members of other religions.

All religions seek the truth, and all religions consider their own path to be the upright path to the truth they believe derives from God.<sup>1</sup> It is not a concern of this paper whether religion “x” or religion “y” is closer to or further from the divine truth than any other. This is a question that we cannot be resolved in a dialogical forum.<sup>2</sup>

The question considered here centers on the function of religion in contemporary societies – how religion can contribute positively to the larger human community with

---

<sup>1</sup> See, for example, Bruce Marshal, *Trinity and Truth* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2000); Abraham Joshua Heschel, *A Passion For Truth* (New York, 1973); Abul-Walid Ibn Rushd, *Fasl al-Maqal* ed. A. Nader (Beirut, 1961); and a counter-position in Bradford Hinze and Irfan Omar (eds.), *Heirs of Abraham: The Future of Muslim, Jewish, and Christian Relations* (NY: Orbis, 2005).

<sup>2</sup> See Reuven Firestone, *Children of Abraham: An Introduction to Judaism for Muslims* (NY: Ktav, 2000) and translated into Arabic as ذرية إبراهيم مقدمة عن اليهودية للمسلمين <http://www.altawasul.com/NR/rdonlyres/9052668D-41C6-4B91-8D57-16DD1BE5311C/0/dhariyatibrahim.pdf>; ibid, *An Introduction to Islam for Jews* (Philadelphia: Jewish Publication Society of America, 2008).

which it is engaged. Positive religious engagement in society requires that religious institutions work toward the harmony of all of society's members. This requirement is codified in all of our scriptures.

The Torah does not restrict its concern only for Jews, the New Testament does not restrict its concern only for Christians, and the Qur'an does not restrict its concern only for Muslims. All three divine scriptures show that God's universal love embraces all peoples and that the divine goal is harmony and concord within all of humanity.

All religions strive to understand the place of humanity in relation to the divine. Whether monotheist, dualist or polytheist, and whether Abrahamic or non-Abrahamic, religion focuses on helping people strive to do the good amidst the parallel human inclination to succumb to our natural drives toward selfishness and sin.<sup>3</sup>

Religions contain within them certain tensions. One is the tension between particularism and universalism. All religions, for example, are concerned for the welfare of the community of believers. They express their right to promote their own community and their own interests. Religions always invest great interest and resources in the community that is defined by the religion – Islam for Muslims, Judaism for Jews, Christianity for Christians, and so forth. This is because religions are inherently and particularly concerned for the welfare of their own community in this world and are also concerned for their welfare in the hereafter. This emphasis on the welfare of the religious collective is defined by theologians and scholars of religion as "particularism."<sup>4</sup> It refers to directing energy, resources and concern inward toward the community of believers.

All religions are also concerned for the welfare of the world at large. There is no religion I know of that does not strive, one way or another, for a harmonious world in which peoples of all identities will live in peace with one another and with themselves. This element of concern is directed not only to the community of believers, but to all humans, whether or not believers, in the larger world. This worldwide concern is called by theologians and scholars "universalism."<sup>5</sup>

There is an inherent tension between these two. Sometimes concern for the welfare of the religious community comes at the expense of concern for the world at large, and sometimes the opposite. Religions usually try to balance these concerns. Usually, particularism receives a greater emphasis than universalism. Or perhaps, while universalism is discussed quite a bit in religious sources, the resources of religion are usually expended mostly on the particularist interests and needs of the community.

The inherent tension between these two trajectories is expressed in religious theology, religious law, and religious education. Because the purpose of this conference is to consider our role as religious scholars in educating upcoming generations, I will examine this tension in terms of religious education.

Religious educators strive hard to inculcate in children and youth a positive image of themselves. We want our young people to feel good about their religious identity and we want to ensure that our children continue to believe and practice the religious norms and traditions that we cherish. Unfortunately, religious education in both the religious and public environments has tended to take the easy path of defining the self positively by

---

<sup>3</sup> See Mircea Eliade (editor), *Encyclopaedia of Religion* (New York: Collier Macmillan, 1987).

<sup>4</sup> Edward Kessler and Neil Wenborg (eds.), *A Dictionary of Jewish-Christian Relations* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2005), p. 437.

<sup>5</sup> Ibid.

defining the other negatively. Our children often receive the message that they are good because the other is bad. Careful scientific studies and surveys have chronicled this tendency in many areas of the world, including Europe, the United States, the Middle East, and other regions.<sup>6</sup>

This tendency to portray a negative message regarding the religious other would appear to conflict with the universalist concern of religion just mentioned. If religions are truly concerned for the welfare of those who do not belong to the religious community, how can they denigrate them? Defaming and maligning the religious other goes against the very universalism of peace and justice that religions claim to teach. How does denigrating the other help the world? Such a perspective is selfish. It represents nothing more than an attempt to benefit the narrow community at the expense of the world at large. And in fact, this selfish approach is detrimental to the community of believers as well because it creates a world of anger, resentment and tension that can easily slip into violence that will negatively affect all communities.

Some religious leaders have tried to resolve the tension between the particularist and universalist inclinations through mission, or *da`wa*. That is, they claim that the entire world will benefit from the truth of their own particular faith by *belonging* to their own faith. They believe that they will help the “other” by making the “other” into the “self.” It is an attempt to resolve the tension between particularism and universalism by creating a universal particularism. If the entire world would be Muslim, for example, or Christian, or any other religion, there would be no more religious argument and religious wars. All would obey the same religious moral imperatives that require ethical behaviors, proper religious practice, etc.

This goal, however, is a total illusion. It can never be fulfilled.

History and sociology has proven that whenever a critical mass of people forms, it always splits into smaller groups. History has proven over and over again that whenever a religion becomes so successful that it gathers a large mass of believers, it breaks into sectarian groups that argue with one another, fight, and eventually form independent religions. From a theological perspective, if God had willed that all humanity be of one mind about religion, then we would not have such serious differences between religious communities.

Let us come to an agreement that it is normal, even proper, for religious communities to exist side-by-side despite conflicting theologies and practices. Our scriptures support this position without question. I could cite many passages of scripture but will limit to only one each from the Hebrew Bible (Torah), the New Testament and the Qur'an.

---

<sup>6</sup> Wolfram Reiss, “Obstacles and Chances of an International Dialogue on Curriculum Revision in the Middle East: Experiences of a German Research Project on School Textbooks in Egypt, Palestine, Turkey and Iran,” The Center for Values Education (Istanbul) and the Oslo Coalition on Freedom of Religious Belief (Oslo), 2005 (<http://www.swedenabroad.com/SelectImage/55984/ObstaclesandChancesprint.pdf>); Gamal M. M. Mostafa, “Correcting the Image of Islam and Muslims in the West: Challenges and Opportunities for Islamic Universities and Organizations,” *Journal of Muslim Minority Affairs* Vol. 27, Issue 3 (Dec. 2007), 371-386.

Micah 4 <sup>5</sup> Though all the peoples walk each in the names of its gods, we will walk in the name of the Lord our God forever and ever.	מיכה ד (ה) כִּי כָל הָעַמִּים יֵלְכוּ אִישׁ בְּשֵׁם אֱלֹהָיו וְאֶנְחֵנוּ יֵלֶךְ בְּשֵׁם יְקֹוֹק אֱלֹהֵינוּ לְעוֹלָם וָעֶד:
--	--

Matthew 5

<sup>46</sup>If you love only those who love you, what reward can you expect? Even the tax-collectors do as much as that. <sup>47</sup>If you greet only your brothers, what is there extraordinary about that? Even the heathen do as much. <sup>48</sup>There must be no limit to your goodness, as your heavenly Father's goodness knows no bounds.

Qur'an 10 (Yunis) <sup>99</sup> If your Lord had wished, all people in the world would believe as a [single] body; will you then compel people until they become believers?	سورة يونس وَلَوْ شَاءَ رَبُّكَ لَأَمَنَّ مِنَ فِي الْأَرْضِ كُلَّهُمْ جَمِيعًا أَفَأَنْتَ تُكْرِهُ النَّاسَ حَتَّى يَكُونُوا مُؤْمِنِينَ [99]
--	--

Given these divine messages, how can we, as responsible religious leaders, allow our educational system to denigrate those believers in religions that are different from our own. By not encouraging our religious curricula to respect the religious other we are refusing to accept God's message. This is a sin and a denial of God.

To fix this problem we must all immediately review our school curricula at all levels because the denigration of other religions occurs in many forms. Not only is it taught in classes about religion, but also in literature, in civics and especially in the teaching of history. There is only one truly proper and honorable way to ensure that school curricula represent the religious other fairly. That is to include representatives of other religious faiths in the committees or boards that review and create curricular materials. How do I know that when I teach about Christianity in a history class or in a class about religion that I am teaching accurately and without prejudice? How do I know that I am not in some way dishonoring Muslims or Islam in the way in which I teach the history of Jews living in the Muslim world?

I will tell you an inspiring story. I am a Jewish educator. I teach rabbinical students – young people who will become rabbis and leaders in their Jewish communities. And I teach professional Jewish educators who teach and administer hundreds of schools that teach many thousands off Jewish children. Never did I consider whether or not our school curricula should be approved by Christians and Muslims, even though we teach modules about Christianity and Islam in many of our schools. Then one day during a period in which I was giving lectures in Singapore, a Muslim company that makes educational curricula for Muslim schools invited me to review the module that they had developed about Jews and Judaism. They wanted me, as a Jew and a rabbi, to make sure that this group was not inadvertently presenting the materials inaccurately. From that day onward, I have been arguing that curricula regarding other religions taught in Jewish schools must be approved by members of other faiths before it can be presented to our children.

We want to teach our children to love our religion and our religious tradition deeply. It is unethical and sinful to do this at the expense of other religions. Children

must be taught that while they can and should love their own religion and religious tradition deeply, and while they have all the right to believe in the unique truth and extraordinary particularity of their religion, they must also accept that other religious faiths are legitimate and honorable expressions of the human quest to understand God and the human condition.

Anyone who is a true believer in one's own religion believes that one's own religion is true or is at least on the path to truth. Most believers earnestly believe that their faith is a better faith than the faiths of others. That is acceptable because it is one's own personal belief. But it is not acceptable to teach that one's faith is right because another faith is wrong. Only after we all accept the responsibility to go beyond platitudes and actually ensure that our school curricula are responsible will we be able to come to a better understanding and promote harmony, justice and peace. This is, after all, the purpose of religion.

## Bibliography

Eliade, Mircea (editor), *Encyclopaedia of Religion* (New York: Collier Macmillan, 1987).

Firestone, Reuven, *Children of Abraham: An Introduction to Judaism for Muslims* (NY: Ktav, 2000); translated into Arabic as ذرية إبراهيم مقدمة عن اليهودية للمسلمين  
<http://www.altawasul.com/NR/rdonlyres/9052668D-41C6-4B91-8D57-16DD1BE5311C/0/dharyatibrahim.pdf>.

Firestone, Reuven, *Introduction to Islam for Jews* (Philadelphia: Jewish Publication Society of America, 2008).

Heschel, Abraham Joshua, *A Passion For Truth* (New York, 1973).

Hinze, Bradford and Omar, Irfan (eds.), *Heirs of Abraham: The Future of Muslim, Jewish, and Christian Relations* (NY: Orbis, 2005).

Ibn Rushd, Abul-Walid, *Fasl al-Maqal* ed. A. Nader (Beirut, 1961).

Kessler, Edward and Wenborg, Neil (eds.), *A Dictionary of Jewish-Christian Relations* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2005).

Marshal, Bruce, *Trinity and Truth* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2000).

Mostafa, Gamal M. M. "Correcting the Image of Islam and Muslims in the West: Challenges and Opportunities for Islamic Universities and Organizations," *Journal of Muslim Minority Affairs* Vol. 27, Issue 3 (Dec. 2007), 371-386.

Oxford Study Bible (REB). Oxford: OUP, 1992.

Reiss, Wolfram, "Obstacles and Chances of an International Dialogue on Curriculum Revision in the Middle East: Experiences of a German Research Project on School Textbooks in Egypt, Palestine, Turkey and Iran," The Center for Values Education (Istanbul) and the Oslo Coalition on Freedom of Religious Belief (Oslo), 2005 (<http://www.swedenabroad.com/SelectImage/55984/ObstaclesandChancesprint.pdf>).

## Bibliography

Eliade, Mircea (editor), *Encyclopaedia of Religion* (New York: Collier Macmillan, 1987).

Firestone, Reuven, *Children of Abraham: An Introduction to Judaism for Muslims* (NY: Ktav, 2000); translated into Arabic as ذرية إبراهيم مقدمة عن اليهودية للمسلمين <http://www.altawasul.com/NR/rdonlyres/9052668D-41C6-4B91-8D57-16DD1BE5311C/0/dhariyatibrahim.pdf>.

Firestone, Reuven, *Introduction to Islam for Jews* (Philadelphia: Jewish Publication Society of America, 2008).

Heschel, Abraham Joshua, *A Passion For Truth* (New York, 1973).

Hinze, Bradford and Omar, Irfan (eds.), *Heirs of Abraham: The Future of Muslim, Jewish, and Christian Relations* (NY: Orbis, 2005).

Ibn Rushd, Abul-Walid, *Fasl al-Maqal* ed. A. Nader (Beirut, 1961).

Kessler, Edward and Wenborg, Neil (eds.), *A Dictionary of Jewish-Christian Relations* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2005).

Marshal, Bruce, *Trinity and Truth* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2000).

Mostafa, Gamal M. M. "Correcting the Image of Islam and Muslims in the West: Challenges and Opportunities for Islamic Universities and Organizations," *Journal of Muslim Minority Affairs* Vol. 27, Issue 3 (Dec. 2007), 371-386.

Oxford Study Bible (REB). Oxford: OUP, 1992.

Reiss, Wolfram, "Obstacles and Chances of an International Dialogue on Curriculum Revision in the Middle East: Experiences of a German Research Project on School Textbooks in Egypt, Palestine, Turkey and Iran," The Center for Values Education (Istanbul) and the Oslo Coalition on Freedom of Religious Belief (Oslo), 2005 (<http://www.swedenabroad.com/SelectImage/55984/ObstaclesandChancesprint.pdf>).